# Deep Learning

### Lecture 10: Meta and manifold learning

Chris G. Willcocks Durham University

### Lecture overview



### 1 Manifold learning

- NLDR with DNNs
- t-SNE and UMAP on DNNs
- designing tailored embeddings
- Jonker-Volgenant assignment

### 2 Meta learning

- thinking in distributions
- the distribution of all data...
- ...and of all tasks
- definition
- the meta learning support set
- metric, optimisation and model-based

### **3** Looking forward

- meta learning datasets
- large-scale generative models
- machine reasoning and risk
- take away points



#### **Definition**: NLDR in DNNs

Feature vectors in deep neural networks (DNNs) capture abstract patterns which are interesting to analyse.

We can use nonlinear dimensionality reduction (NLDR) algorithm, such as t-SNE and UMAP to examine these patterns.

The deepest (bottleneck or penultimate layer) features are often the most interesting.

### **Example:** bottleneck features in LeNet

```
class LeNet(nn.Module):
 def __init__(self):
    super(LeNet. self). init ()
    self.conv1 = nn.Conv2d(1, 6, 5, padding=2)
    self.conv2 = nn.Conv2d(6, 16, 5)
   self.fc1 = nn.Linear(1655, 120)
   self.fc2 = nn.Linear(120, 84)
   self.fc3 = nn.Linear(84, 10)
 def forward(self, x):
    x = F.max_pool2d(F.relu(self.conv1(x)), (2, 2))
    x = F.max_pool2d(F.relu(self.conv2(x)), (2, 2))
    x = flatten(x)
    x = F.relu(self.fc1(x))
\rightarrow f = F.relu(self.fc2(x))
    x = self.fc3(f)
    return x
```



### Usage: t-SNE [1] or UMAP [2]

import torch
from sklearn.manifold import TSNE

```
# f = features for whole dataset
f = torch.randn(1000, 84, 1, 1)
```

```
# specify embedding to 2D
g = TSNE(2).fit_transform(f.squeeze())
print(g.shape) # returns (1000,2)
```





### **Example:** tailored embeddings

The embedding space can be controlled by additional constraints, such as reconstruction term, additional losses (classificaiton, regression).

What will the 2D embedding be like for the following architecture?



# Manifold learning Jonker-Volgenant assignment



#### **Example:** Jonker-Volgenant

A visualisation trick is to minimise an assignment cost to optimise the layout of the embeddings. The Jonker-Volgenant algorithm can be used for this, giving:





# Meta learning thinking in distributions



A common lie... ...is that test data  $\stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim}$  train data (no!)



**99.7%** "test accuracy"! (your boss and the investors are happy)

### Meta learning thinking in distributions







Generative models (e.g. domain adaptation, transfer and meta learning)



# Meta learning the distribution of all data





### Meta learning the distribution of all tasks



### Learning to learn to:

- move our fingers
- play music
- touch type
- sing, talk
- think like Einstein and Hinton
- ...

We would like to be able to generalise to unseen tasks. What do you do with these?





### **Definition:** meta learning

Learn a distribution of (related) tasks, so we can infer new tasks quickly [3].

Instead of training on data samples  $x \sim p_{\text{data}}$  we train on datasets  $\mathcal{D} \sim p(\mathcal{D})$ 

$$\theta^* = \arg\min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D} \sim p(\mathcal{D})} [\mathcal{L}_{\theta}(\mathcal{D})]$$



### Meta learning the meta learning support set



### **Definition:** meta learning support set

Meta learners determine the task via a support set S

$$\theta = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{L \sim \mathcal{T}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}^L \sim \mathcal{D}, B^L \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[ \sum_{(x, y) \in B^L} P_{\theta}(y | x, \mathcal{S}^L) \right] \right]$$



support set S

# Meta learning metric, optimisation and model-based meta learning



#### Taxonomy: meta learning

Meta learning literature can be categorised several ways [3], such as by:

- meta-representation (what data?)
- meta-optimisation (how's it optimised?)
- meta-objective (what goal?)

or a different taxonomy:

- metric-based  $P_{\theta}(y|\mathbf{x}, S) = \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in S} k_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i) y_i$
- model-based  $P_{\theta}(y|\mathbf{x}, S) = f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, S)$
- optimisation-based  $P_{\theta}(y|\mathbf{x}, S) = P_{g_{\phi}(\theta, S^L)}(y|\mathbf{x})$





#### Omniglot

#### Mini-Imagenet







### Meta learning machine reasoning



#### Discussion: reasoning and risk

Machine reasoning hints at the idea that there is something beyond our current theory of generalisation. Do you agree?

9+76+67+56+93+15+91+3=1 =9 =6 =19 =7 = ( =11

 $\begin{array}{c} 2+5 & 9+9 & 3+1 & 9+2 \\ -7 & =7 & -1 & 2=8 \\ \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 6+9 & 3+8 & 6+9 \\ = & 0 & =9 \\ \end{array}$ 

Or is reasoning just a imitation/generative modeling with representative functions?

#### Watch GPT-3 answer this for itself 🗹







#### Summary

In summary, within deep learning:

- nearly all learning problems relate to generative modeling
- there's a push now towards generalising to unseen tasks
- we're heading towards a grand unification of modalities
- are reasoning and meta learning just generalisation?
- what will be the most scalable representative functions?
- humans are really bad at imagining the unknown

#### Visit my website for funded PhD scholarships in these areas 🗷



- [1] Scikitlearn. Manifold learning algorithms. <u>Available online</u> C. 2020.
- [2] Leland McInnes, John Healy, and James Melville. "Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426 (2018).
- [3] Timothy Hospedales, Antreas Antoniou, Paul Micaelli, and Amos Storkey.
   "Meta-learning in neural networks: A survey". In: <u>arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05439</u> (2020).
- [4] Elon Musk et al. "An integrated brain-machine interface platform with thousands of channels". In: Journal of medical Internet research 21.10 (2019), e16194.